

**LAW AND CRIME PREVENTION IN LAGOS STATE, NIGERIA: LEGAL
FRAMEWORKS, POLICING, JUSTICE AND THE CHALLENGE OF EFFECTIVE
ENFORCEMENT**

IDIBIA Daniel, PhD

Dept. of Public Law, Ciiy Campus,
Caleb University, Magodo, Lagos State.

AKHAZE Richard Ehiabhili, PhD

Newcity University, Ayetoro,
Ogun State, Nigeria

EZE Blessing

Department of Criminiligy,
Maranatha University, Lagos

Abstract

Crime prevention is fundamentally a legal and institutional enterprise because the effectiveness of crime-control strategies depends on the existence, clarity, legitimacy and enforcement of laws. In Lagos State, Nigeria, rapid urbanisation, population growth, socioeconomic inequality, informal settlements, youth unemployment, technological change and complex patterns of urban mobility have created a challenging environment for crime prevention. This paper examines the role of law in preventing and controlling crime in Lagos State, with particular attention to policing, community participation, criminal justice administration, police legitimacy, procedural justice, traditional and informal security structures, and the enforcement of state legislation. The paper adopts a qualitative doctrinal and conceptual approach, drawing on peer-reviewed scholarly literature published between 2015 and 2026. The analysis demonstrates that law contributes to crime prevention through criminalisation, deterrence, police powers, institutional regulation, prosecution, adjudication and the protection of fundamental rights. However, the existence of laws does not automatically produce effective crime prevention. Implementation capacity, police legitimacy, public trust, institutional coordination, investigative effectiveness and access to justice are equally important. The paper argues that Lagos requires an integrated legal approach to crime prevention in which criminal law is complemented by community policing, procedural justice, accountable policing, efficient criminal justice administration, regulation of private and informal security providers, and evidence-based law reform. The paper concludes that sustainable crime prevention in Lagos will depend less on the continuous creation of new offences and more on improving the implementation, legitimacy and institutional coherence of existing legal frameworks.

Keywords: law, crime prevention, criminal justice, policing, community policing, police legitimacy

Introduction

Law constitutes one of the principal instruments through which societies define unacceptable conduct, establish sanctions, regulate coercive authority and protect citizens from criminal victimisation. In modern societies, crime prevention is therefore inseparable from the quality of the legal framework and the capacity of institutions to enforce it. Laws establish offences and penalties, define police powers, regulate criminal investigation, establish procedures for prosecution and adjudication, and protect suspects, victims and other members of society. Consequently, where laws are unclear, outdated, inconsistently enforced or poorly implemented, the capacity of the state to prevent crime is weakened.

This relationship is particularly important in Lagos State. As Nigeria's largest commercial and metropolitan centre, Lagos possesses a complex social and economic environment in which formal institutions coexist with extensive informal social structures. Crime prevention therefore requires more than conventional police action. It requires an interconnected system involving legislation, law enforcement agencies, courts, correctional institutions, local communities, private security organisations and other social institutions.

The contemporary Nigerian legal environment has undergone significant reforms intended to improve criminal justice administration. The Administration of Criminal Justice Act (ACJA) 2015, for example, was designed to promote efficient management of criminal justice institutions, speedy dispensation of justice, protection of society from crime, and protection of the rights of suspects, defendants and victims. Scholarly assessments nevertheless indicate that the effectiveness of the reforms depends substantially on implementation, institutional capacity and adequate resources (Afolayan & Onoja, 2016; Omachi & Sule, 2019).

Lagos also presents a distinctive case of institutional innovation in policing. Agboga (2021) demonstrates that Lagos developed strategies that enabled the state to circumvent some of the difficulties associated with the centralised structure of the Nigerian Police Force. However, the study cautions that the Lagos experience is shaped by the state's distinctive political and economic characteristics and may not be readily replicated elsewhere.

The central argument of this paper is that law is necessary but not sufficient for crime prevention in Lagos. Effective crime prevention requires a combination of sound legislation, legitimate policing, effective investigation, timely prosecution, accessible justice, community participation and accountable enforcement. The paper therefore examines the relationship between legal frameworks and practical crime prevention, while identifying the institutional and socioeconomic factors that determine whether law achieves its preventive purpose.

Conceptualising Law and Crime Prevention

Crime prevention may be understood as the deliberate application of legal, institutional, social and environmental measures designed to reduce the occurrence of criminal behaviour, reduce opportunities for offending and minimise the consequences of victimisation. While criminal law traditionally focuses on defining prohibited behaviour and imposing sanctions, contemporary approaches to crime prevention recognise that punishment alone cannot adequately address the causes and opportunities associated with crime.

Law performs several functions within crime prevention. First, it establishes behavioural boundaries by defining conduct that constitutes an offence. Second, it establishes sanctions intended to deter potential offenders. Third, it provides law enforcement agencies with powers to investigate and respond to suspected criminal activity. Fourth, it establishes procedural safeguards governing arrest, detention, investigation and prosecution. Fifth, it creates mechanisms through which victims can obtain justice and offenders can be held accountable.

The effectiveness of these functions depends upon legitimacy. Citizens are more likely to cooperate with law enforcement institutions when they believe that laws are fairly applied and that public officials exercise their powers legitimately. Research on police legitimacy demonstrates that legitimacy operates at both individual and institutional levels and is closely connected to public perceptions of fairness and institutional appropriateness (Worden & McLean, 2017).

Law should therefore not be regarded simply as a coercive instrument. It is also a mechanism for building trust between citizens and the state. When citizens perceive laws as fair, predictable and equally applicable, legal institutions acquire greater legitimacy. Conversely, selective enforcement, corruption, excessive force and arbitrary arrest can weaken legal legitimacy and undermine crime-prevention efforts.

The Legal Architecture of Crime Prevention in Lagos State

Crime prevention in Lagos operates within a multilayered legal structure. At the federal level, criminal offences, police powers and criminal procedure are governed by constitutional provisions and federal legislation. At the state level, Lagos has enacted numerous laws addressing specific aspects of public safety, social order and urban governance.

The compilation and review of Lagos State legislation are themselves important components of legal effectiveness. Bello (2017), in examining the *Laws of Lagos State 2015*, explains that the compilation process involved updating legislation, incorporating amendments, removing repealed or spent laws and addressing challenges associated with maintaining a coherent body of state legislation.

This process is significant for crime prevention because laws must remain responsive to changing patterns of criminality and social organisation. Technological developments, cyber-enabled offences, changes in transportation, urban expansion and emerging forms of organised crime can create circumstances in which older legal frameworks become inadequate.

The Lagos State Law Reform Commission consequently has an important role in ensuring that the state's legal framework remains contemporary, accessible and coherent. The Commission's statutory mandate includes the continuous review, modernisation and simplification of state legislation.

Legal reform should, however, be guided by evidence. The enactment of additional offences or harsher penalties does not automatically reduce crime. A more important consideration is whether law enforcement institutions possess the capacity to detect offences, investigate suspects, secure evidence, prosecute cases and obtain lawful convictions.

Criminal Law, Deterrence and Crime Prevention

Criminal law contributes to crime prevention partly through deterrence. By establishing prohibited behaviour and prescribing sanctions, criminal law communicates the consequences of offending. However, deterrence depends not merely on the severity of punishment but also on the perceived certainty and fairness of enforcement.

A legal system characterised by severe penalties but weak detection and prosecution may have limited preventive impact. Potential offenders may respond more strongly to the perceived probability of detection than to the formal severity of punishment. Consequently, Lagos's crime-prevention strategy should prioritise effective investigation, evidence gathering and prosecution alongside appropriate penalties.

The challenge is particularly relevant to urban crime because many offences depend upon rapid investigative responses, reliable information and cooperation from witnesses. Where investigations are poorly conducted or cases are delayed for prolonged periods, the deterrent capacity of criminal law is weakened.

The Administration of Criminal Justice Act 2015 was introduced partly to address longstanding weaknesses in Nigeria's criminal justice system. Afolayan and Onoja (2016) describe the legislation as an important intervention intended to improve efficiency, protect society from crime and safeguard the rights of suspects, defendants and victims.

However, subsequent scholarship indicates that implementation remains a major challenge. Omachi and Sule (2019) observe that the ACJA introduced important reforms but its application was initially limited because the federal legislation did not automatically govern state criminal courts. They therefore emphasised the need for corresponding state-level reforms and adequate institutional resources.

The implication for Lagos is that criminal justice reform must be evaluated not simply by the quality of statutory provisions but by the extent to which those provisions are implemented in police stations, prosecution offices and courts.

Policing and the Enforcement of Law in Lagos

The police remain central to crime prevention because they constitute the principal institution responsible for maintaining public order, preventing crime, investigating offences and apprehending suspects. Nevertheless, the structure of policing in Nigeria presents an important institutional challenge because policing is predominantly centralised.

Agboga (2021) argues that Lagos developed an alternative institutional response to some of the limitations associated with Nigeria's centralised policing structure. The Lagos approach illustrates the possibility of subnational innovation even when constitutional responsibility for policing remains substantially centralised. However, the study also emphasises that the Lagos model reflects distinctive political and economic conditions.

The legal significance of this development is considerable. Effective crime prevention requires clarity concerning the allocation of responsibilities among federal and state institutions. Where responsibilities overlap without adequate coordination, institutional conflict, duplication and accountability gaps may emerge.

The enactment of the Nigeria Police Act 2020 represented a significant reform of the legal framework governing policing and formally strengthened the policy basis for community-oriented policing. Contemporary scholarship nevertheless continues to identify challenges concerning implementation, institutional trust and the relationship between centralised policing and community security.

Consequently, the Lagos crime-prevention framework should focus not only on police numbers but also on police professionalism, investigative competence, accountability, community engagement and institutional coordination.

Community Policing and the Preventive Role of Law

Community policing represents an important intersection between law and community participation. It seeks to move policing from a predominantly reactive model towards one based on partnership, problem-solving and prevention.

Shittu (2018), studying Epe Local Government Area of Lagos State, found that police-public partnerships contributed to crime control but that implementation faced challenges including inadequate training, negative public perceptions, inadequate crime-control technology, corruption and insufficient marine-policing capacity.

The findings demonstrate that legal recognition of community policing must be accompanied by institutional capacity. A legal framework that permits or encourages community participation will have limited effect if communities distrust the police or if police institutions lack the resources and skills necessary to maintain effective partnerships.

Recent research further reinforces this point. Shiyanbade et al. (2026) examine community policing and crime prevention specifically in Lagos State and emphasise the continuing relevance of police-community relationships to contemporary crime prevention.

The legal framework for community policing should therefore establish clearly defined responsibilities, reporting mechanisms, accountability standards and safeguards against abuse. Community policing should not become an informal delegation of coercive powers to private citizens. Rather, it should facilitate lawful cooperation between citizens and professionally regulated security institutions.

Procedural Justice and the Legitimacy of Law

One of the strongest arguments for connecting law with procedural justice is that citizens' willingness to comply with law depends partly upon whether they believe that legal authorities act fairly.

Akinlabi (2017), in a study of young people in Nigeria, found that procedural justice was a stronger predictor of police legitimacy than perceived police effectiveness. This finding is particularly relevant to Lagos because the city's large youth population represents an important constituency for long-term crime prevention.

Procedural justice requires that citizens be treated with dignity, given an opportunity to express their views, dealt with neutrally and treated consistently. These principles are not merely ethical considerations; they have practical consequences for crime prevention.

When citizens perceive police officers as legitimate, they are more likely to cooperate with law enforcement, report crimes and comply voluntarily with legal authority. Conversely, perceptions of arbitrary arrest, discrimination, excessive force or corruption may encourage citizens to avoid formal institutions.

Boateng (2018) demonstrates that police legitimacy is not solely an individual-level phenomenon but can also vary according to broader institutional and national contexts. His multinational African analysis shows the importance of contextual factors in shaping perceptions of police legitimacy.

For Lagos, this means that crime prevention should incorporate legitimacy as an explicit institutional objective. Police performance should not be measured only by arrests or crime statistics but also by public trust, complaint resolution, procedural fairness and citizen cooperation.

Law, Police Accountability and Human Rights

Crime prevention must operate within the rule of law. The state possesses coercive powers because citizens collectively authorise public institutions to protect life and property and maintain public order. However, those powers are subject to constitutional and legal limitations.

The protection of human rights is therefore not incompatible with crime prevention. On the contrary, lawful policing can enhance the legitimacy and effectiveness of crime-control institutions.

The ACJA framework provides important protections concerning criminal procedure and the treatment of suspects. Eyongndi (2021), for example, examines the relationship between the ACJA and the protection of personal liberty, dignity and freedom of movement, arguing that the legislation has potential to reduce unlawful detention and strengthen rights protection.

The importance of these safeguards is particularly evident in policing. Excessive detention, unlawful arrest and coercive interrogation can produce unreliable evidence, undermine prosecutions and weaken public confidence in the justice system.

Crime prevention therefore requires a balance between effective law enforcement and the protection of fundamental rights. The objective should not be to reduce legal safeguards in the name of security but to strengthen investigative and prosecutorial capacity so that criminal cases can be successfully resolved through lawful procedures.

Law and the Administration of Criminal Justice

The criminal justice system represents the institutional bridge between crime detection and accountability. A crime-prevention system cannot be effective if police officers arrest suspects but prosecutors cannot build viable cases or courts cannot dispose of cases efficiently.

The ACJA 2015 attempted to address some of these longstanding problems by promoting efficient criminal justice administration and reducing unnecessary delays. However, the effectiveness of the legislation depends upon the capacity of police, prosecutors, courts and correctional institutions to implement its provisions.

Aidonojie (2024) found continuing implementation challenges in Nigeria's criminal justice system and emphasised the need for stronger monitoring of the institutions responsible for implementing the ACJA.

This has direct implications for Lagos. The state requires an integrated criminal justice strategy in which investigation, prosecution and adjudication operate as interconnected components. Police officers require adequate investigative training; prosecutors require timely and reliable evidence; courts require effective case-management systems; and correctional institutions require mechanisms that support rehabilitation and lawful custody.

The criminal justice system should therefore be viewed as a chain. A weakness at one point can undermine the effectiveness of the entire crime-prevention process.

Traditional and Informal Legal Institutions

Lagos also demonstrates the continuing relevance of traditional and informal mechanisms of social control. Tade and Olaitan (2015), in their study of traditional structures of crime control in Lagos, found that traditional mechanisms continued to enjoy community support and were sometimes preferred to formal institutions of social control.

This finding has important implications for legal policy. Formal law operates within a social environment in which communities possess their own norms, institutions and conflict-resolution mechanisms.

The existence of informal institutions should not necessarily be interpreted as evidence of rejection of formal law. In some circumstances, informal institutions can complement formal systems by resolving minor disputes, providing information and strengthening community cohesion. However, their activities must remain subject to fundamental legal principles.

Unregulated informal justice can generate significant risks, including arbitrary punishment, discrimination, physical violence and denial of due process. Consequently, the appropriate approach is to recognise the social value of legitimate community institutions while maintaining clear boundaries between informal dispute resolution and the exercise of coercive criminal justice powers.

Law, Vigilantism and the Limits of Informal Crime Control

Vigilantism often develops where citizens perceive formal security institutions as unable to provide adequate protection. Its emergence can therefore be interpreted partly as an institutional response to perceived gaps in law enforcement.

Akinlabi (2019), examining support for vigilantism in Nigeria, demonstrates that community support for informal crime-control arrangements is influenced by social, environmental, economic and legitimacy-related factors.

The legal implications are significant. Although communities have legitimate interests in protecting themselves and their property, private citizens cannot lawfully assume unrestricted powers of arrest, punishment or detention. Crime prevention must remain embedded within the rule of law.

The state should therefore develop mechanisms for integrating legitimate community security initiatives into broader security governance while preventing extrajudicial punishment. Such mechanisms could include registration, training, codes of conduct, human-rights education, defined reporting procedures and formal communication channels with the police.

Law, Private Security and Crime Prevention

The growth of private security organisations has created another dimension of crime prevention in Lagos. Businesses, residential estates, financial institutions and other organisations frequently employ private security personnel to control access, monitor premises and respond to security incidents.

The expansion of private security raises questions concerning the legal boundaries of private and public security. Private security actors perform important preventive functions but should not operate outside regulatory oversight.

The relationship between private security and public policing is therefore important. A coordinated framework can facilitate information sharing and improve early detection of criminal activity, while inadequate regulation can generate accountability problems.

The law should establish clear professional requirements for private security organisations, including licensing, training, ethical standards, reporting obligations and cooperation with public security institutions. Such regulation would strengthen the preventive contribution of private security while ensuring that private coercive capacities remain accountable.

Law Reform and Emerging Forms of Crime

Crime evolves as society changes. Digital technology, financial innovation, transportation systems, social media and urban expansion have created new opportunities for offending and new challenges for enforcement.

Law reform is therefore essential to ensure that legal institutions remain capable of addressing emerging forms of criminality. Bello's (2017) analysis of the Lagos legislative compilation process demonstrates why regular review of state legislation is necessary.

However, law reform should be evidence-based. The tendency to respond to every emerging social problem by creating new offences can produce legislative fragmentation and enforcement difficulties. The better approach is to determine whether existing laws are inadequate, whether enforcement institutions lack capacity, or whether the problem requires a genuinely new legal response.

The Lagos State Law Reform Commission is consequently strategically positioned to undertake systematic reviews of crime-related legislation and identify obsolete, overlapping or ineffective provisions.

Law, Technology and Evidence-Based Crime Prevention

Modern crime prevention increasingly depends on technology. Digital surveillance, geographic information systems, electronic databases, forensic technologies and digital evidence can improve the ability of law enforcement institutions to detect and investigate crime.

However, technology must operate within a legal framework that protects privacy, due process and evidentiary integrity. The indiscriminate use of surveillance technologies could undermine public trust and produce new legal risks.

Lagos should therefore adopt a technology-enabled but rights-sensitive crime-prevention model. Digital policing should be accompanied by clear rules governing data collection, retention, access, disclosure and accountability.

The legal system should also strengthen the capacity of investigators, prosecutors and courts to deal with digital evidence. Without appropriate expertise, technologically sophisticated investigations may fail to produce successful prosecutions.

Discussion

The evidence reviewed in this paper demonstrates that the relationship between law and crime prevention in Lagos is multidimensional. Law defines criminal behaviour, authorises enforcement, establishes procedures and provides safeguards. Yet legal rules cannot prevent crime independently of institutions and social cooperation.

The first major conclusion is that legal effectiveness depends on enforcement capacity. A law that cannot be effectively investigated, prosecuted and adjudicated provides limited deterrence. The second is that legitimacy is a critical component of enforcement. Citizens are more likely to cooperate with institutions they regard as fair and legitimate (Akinlabi, 2017; Worden & McLean, 2017). The third is that community participation can strengthen preventive policing, but only where it is properly structured and accountable (Shittu, 2018; Shiyanbade et al., 2026). The fourth is that criminal justice reform must extend beyond legislation to include institutional capacity, professional training, monitoring and resources (Aidonojie, 2024; Omachi & Sule, 2019).

The Lagos experience also demonstrates that law operates within a complex institutional environment. Federal policing structures coexist with state-level initiatives, community

organisations, traditional institutions and private security providers. Agboga's (2021) analysis shows that Lagos has developed innovative responses to the structural limitations of centralised policing.

The challenge for policymakers is therefore to develop a coherent legal architecture capable of coordinating these actors without creating overlapping or contradictory systems of authority.

Policy Recommendations

Lagos State should first strengthen the implementation of existing criminal laws before prioritising the creation of additional offences. Legislative expansion without enforcement capacity risks producing a gap between law on the books and law in practice.

Second, the state should strengthen community policing through clearly defined legal and institutional arrangements. Community participation should be formalised through structured police-community partnerships, while ensuring that citizens do not assume unlawful coercive powers.

Third, police legitimacy should become an explicit component of crime-prevention policy. Training and performance evaluation should incorporate procedural justice, respectful treatment, transparency and complaint resolution.

Fourth, the criminal justice chain should be strengthened through closer coordination among police investigators, prosecutors and courts. Case management, forensic investigation, digital evidence capacity and prosecution quality should receive sustained institutional attention.

Fifth, Lagos should strengthen the regulatory framework for private security providers and community-based security organisations. Regulation should emphasise professional standards, human-rights compliance, accountability and cooperation with formal law enforcement.

Sixth, the Lagos State Law Reform Commission should undertake periodic reviews of crime-related legislation to identify obsolete provisions, legislative overlaps and emerging areas requiring reform.

Seventh, technology should be integrated into crime prevention within a clear legal and ethical framework. Digital policing should enhance intelligence and investigative capacity without undermining constitutional rights.

Finally, crime prevention should be linked to broader social policy. Legal enforcement is most effective when supported by interventions addressing youth unemployment, social exclusion, urban disorder and other conditions that can increase vulnerability to criminal activity.

Conclusion

Law remains one of the most important instruments for crime prevention in Lagos State, but its effectiveness depends on more than the existence of statutes. Effective crime prevention requires

a functioning relationship between legislation, policing, investigation, prosecution, adjudication, community participation and public trust.

The experience of Lagos demonstrates that innovative institutional arrangements can partially address the limitations of a centralised policing structure. However, sustainable crime prevention requires such innovations to operate within coherent legal and accountability frameworks.

The evidence also establishes the importance of procedural justice and police legitimacy. Citizens who perceive law enforcement institutions as fair and legitimate are more likely to cooperate with them, while distrust can encourage reliance on informal and potentially unlawful security mechanisms. Consequently, crime prevention policy should treat legitimacy and accountability as operational necessities rather than secondary concerns.

The future of crime prevention in Lagos should therefore be based on a comprehensive legal-governance framework that combines effective legislation with professional policing, community participation, efficient criminal justice administration, regulated non-state security, technological capacity and respect for fundamental rights.

The fundamental lesson is that effective crime prevention is not achieved simply by making more laws; it is achieved by making existing laws legitimate, coherent, enforceable and accessible, and by building institutions capable of applying them fairly and consistently.

References

- Afolayan, A. F., & Onoja, E. O. (2016). A critical appraisal of the Administration of Criminal Justice Act, 2015. *ABU Law Journal*, 36(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.67203/abulj.2016.24y4lajk>
- Agboga, V. (2021). Beyond decentralising the Nigerian Police: How Lagos state circumvented debates on police reforms. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 39(1), 135–150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02589001.2020.1832972>
- Aidonojie, P. A. (2024). A facile review on the legal issues concerning the implementation of the Administration of Criminal Justice Act of Nigeria. *Sultan Agung Notary Law Review*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.30659/sanlar.v6i2.24847>
- Akinlabi, O. M. (2017). Young people, procedural justice and police legitimacy in Nigeria. *Policing and Society*, 27(4), 419–438. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.2015.1077836>
- Akinlabi, O. M. (2019). Shaping community support for vigilantism: A Nigerian case study. *Policing: An International Journal*, 42(2), 240–254. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PIJPSM-08-2017-0101>
- Bello, A. O. (2017). Laws of Lagos State of Nigeria 2015: A review of methodology, highlights, and challenges. *Statute Law Review*, 38(2), 196–210. <https://doi.org/10.1093/slr/hmw021>
- Boateng, F. D. (2018). Police legitimacy in Africa: A multilevel multinational analysis. *Policing and Society*, 28(9), 1105–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.2017.1280034>

Crowl, J. N. (2017). The effect of community policing on fear and crime reduction, police legitimacy and job satisfaction: An empirical review of the evidence. *Police Practice and Research*, 18(5), 449–462. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15614263.2017.1303771>

Eyongndi, D. T.-A. (2021). The Administration of Criminal Justice Act, 2015 as a harbinger for the elimination of unlawful detention in Nigeria. *African Human Rights Law Journal*, 21(1), 441–468. <https://doi.org/10.17159/1996-2096/2021/v21n1a19>

Hamm, J. A., Trinkner, R., & Carr, J. D. (2017). Fair process, trust, and cooperation: Moving toward an integrated framework of police legitimacy. *Law and Human Behavior*, 41(6), 554–567. <https://doi.org/10.1037/lhb0000258>

Omachi, M. J., & Sule, A. A. (2019). The Administration of Criminal Justice Act, 2015: Pathway to a reformed criminal justice system in Nigeria. *ABUAD Law Journal*, 7(1), 130–152. <https://doi.org/10.53982/alj.2019.0701.06-j>

Shittu, O. T. (2018). Community policing and crime control in riverine areas: A study of Epe L.G.A. of Lagos State. *African Journal of Stability and Development*, 11(2), 288–306. <https://doi.org/10.53982/ajsd.2018.1102.06-j>

Shiyanbade, B. W., Williams, O. M., Olukotun, A. E., & Ogundemurin, A. O. (2026). Community policing and crime prevention in Lagos State, Nigeria. *AKSU Journal of Administration and Corporate Governance*, 6(1), 136–150. <https://doi.org/10.60787/AKSUJACOG.VOL6NO1.136-150>

Tade, O., & Olaitan, F. (2015). Traditional structures of crime control in Lagos, Nigeria. *African Security Review*, 24(2), 138–152. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10246029.2015.1023325>

Udombana, N. J. (2020). Administration of Criminal Justice Act of Nigeria 2015: A critique of selected legislative expressions. *IALS Student Law Review*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.14296/islr.v7i2.5204>

Worden, R. E., & McLean, S. J. (2017). Research on police legitimacy: The state of the art. *Policing*, 40(3), 480–513. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PIJPSM-05-2017-0062>